

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,481	09/09/2003	Igor V. Barsukov	0626-0004.04	2032
26568	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD			DOVE, TRACY MAE	
SUITE 2850 200 WEST AI	DAMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1745	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>-</i>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/658,481	BARSUKOV ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tracy Dove	1745		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day;  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.	
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u> . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims		·		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2,22 and 26 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,22 and 26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Identity of the Identity of the Identity of the Identity of I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	. ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage	
Attachment	(s)	•			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 4/18/05. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 2, 22 and 26 are pending and remain rejected in view of the prior art. This Action is made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 22 recites "the carbon particles including between 25% and 75% non-expanded graphite particles by weight and between 25% and 75% expanded graphite particles by weight", which is not supported by the specification as filed. The specification does not discloses the claimed weight percent ranges of claim 22 and does not even recite the term "non-expanded".

Regarding claim 26, the specification does not support the limitation "the carbon particles including between 25% and 75% non-expanded graphite particles by weight and between 25% and 75% expanded graphite particles by weight". The specification does not discloses the claimed weight percent ranges of claim 26 and does not even recite the term "non-expanded".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mercuri, US 5,985,452.

Note amended claim 2 is not entitled to the domestic priority date of provisional application 60/187,306.

Mercuri teaches a graphite mixture consisting of 0.18 pounds of unexpanded natural graphite flake blended with 1 pound of expanded natural graphite flake. The graphite mixture consists of about 85 wt% of expanded natural graphite flake and about 15wt% of unexpanded natural flake graphite. See Example III.

Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claims 2, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis et al., US 6,451,486.

See claims 1, 5, 6, 10 and 16 of Davis. Thus, the claims are anticipated.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,481

Art Unit: 1745

The objections to the specification have been withdrawn.

The new matter rejection of claim 1 (claim 4 has been incorporated into claim 1) has been withdrawn. Examiner appreciates Applicant pointing out the support for the limitation "the mixture comprises between 0.1 and 99.9 wt% expanded graphite".

The new matter rejection of claim 22 and 26 is maintained. Applicant must have support for all endpoints of claimed ranges. Furthermore, Applicant's statement that each of natural flake, natural vein, amorphous and synthetic graphite "is known by a person skilled in the art to be a 'non-expanded' graphite" in not accurate. Specifically, Mercuri 5,985,452 teaches a graphite mixture comprising expanded natural graphite and unexpanded, expandable particles of natural graphite (abstract). Thus natural graphite may be an "expanded graphite" or a "non-expanded graphite" material. Furthermore, one of skill in the art would have clearly known that synthetic graphite could be expanded or non-expanded graphite. For example, Davis 6,451,486 teaches "the expanded graphite particles and non-expanded graphite particles can be natural or synthetic" (2:8-9). Thus, Applicant's argument that the specification teaches "between 25% and 75% non-expanded graphite particles by weight" is not persuasive.

Applicant states claim 2 has been amended to incorporate the limitation of claim 4, which renders the rejection of claim 2 moot. However, claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) in view of Mercuri. See previous rejection of 11/3/04, page 5. Therefore, claim 2 remains rejected as being anticipated by Mercuri.

Applicant argues the declaration of Igor V. Barsukov establishes a date of invention by the Applicant for the subject matter of the rejection claims prior to the May 1, 2000 filing date of Davis et al. However, the declaration provides two exhibits that are <u>not dated</u>. Thus, Davis is

Art Unit: 1745

<u>not</u> removed as a prior art reference against the claimed invention. Declarations cannot be used to add material to the specification to overcome a new matter rejection.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,481

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRACY DOVE

June 30, 2005